

**FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**

**FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT**

MURs: 7169, 7170, 7171, 7172, 7173, 7174, 7175,  
7176, 7177, 7178, 7179, 7182, 7187, 7188  
DATE COMPLAINTS FILED: 10/31/2016  
DATE OF NOTIFICATION: 11/2/2016  
LAST RESPONSE RECEIVED: 12/22/2016  
DATE ACTIVATED: 02/16/2017

ELECTION CYCLE: 2016  
EXPIRATION OF SOL: 09/20/2021 – 11/08/2021

**COMPLAINANT (ALL MATTERS):** Foundation for Accountability and Civic Trust Matthew G. Whitaker

**RESPONDENT (ALL MATTERS):** Hillary for America and Jose Villarreal in his official capacity as treasurer

**MUR 7169 RESPONDENTS:** Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer  
Santarsiero for Congress and Lora Haggard in her official capacity as treasurer

**MUR 7170 RESPONDENTS:** Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer  
Ruben Kihuen for Congress and Jay Petterson in his official capacity as treasurer

**MUR 7171 RESPONDENTS:** Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer  
Nelson for Wisconsin and Dr. Beth Gillis in her official capacity as treasurer

**MUR 7172 RESPONDENTS:** Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer  
Colleen Deacon for Congress and Jennifer May in her official capacity as treasurer

**MUR 7173 RESPONDENTS:** Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer  
Applegate for Congress and Douglas Applegate in his official capacity as treasurer

**MUR 7174 RESPONDENTS:** Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer  
Mowrer for Iowa and Dennis Skinner is his official capacity as treasurer

**MUR 7175 RESPONDENTS:** Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer

17034434010

1	Texans for Pete and Wayne Alexander in his
2	official capacity as treasurer
3	
4	<b>MUR 7176 RESPONDENTS:</b>
5	Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee
6	and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer
7	Suzanna Shkreli for Congress and Jennifer May in
8	her official capacity as treasurer
9	<b>MUR 7177 RESPONDENTS:</b>
10	Colorado Democratic Party and Judith Steinberg in
11	her official capacity as treasurer
12	Carroll for Colorado and Mitchell S. Wright in his
13	official capacity as treasurer
14	<b>MUR 7178 RESPONDENTS:</b>
15	Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee
16	and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer
17	Eggman for Congress and Jay Peterson in his
18	official capacity as treasurer
19	<b>MUR 7179 RESPONDENTS:</b>
20	Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee
21	and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer
22	Stephanie Murray for Congress and Jennifer May in
23	her official capacity as treasurer
24	<b>MUR 7182 RESPONDENTS:</b>
25	Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee
26	and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer
27	Bryan Caforio for Congress and Gonzalo Freixes in
28	his official capacity as treasurer
29	<b>MUR 7187 RESPONDENTS:</b>
30	Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee
31	and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer
32	Friends of Christina M. Hartman and Diane
33	Toapkian in her official capacity as treasurer
34	<b>MUR 7188 RESPONDENTS:</b>
35	Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee
36	and Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer
37	LuAnn Bennett for Congress and Jennifer May in
38	her official capacity as treasurer
39	<b>RELEVANT STATUTES</b>
40	<b>AND REGULATIONS:</b>
41	52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(2)(A)
42	52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(7)(B)
43	52 U.S.C. § 30116(d)
44	52 U.S.C. § 30116(f)
45	11 C.F.R. § 106.1(a)
46	11 C.F.R. § 106.8
47	11 C.F.R. § 109.37
48	<b>INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:</b> Disclosure Reports
49	<b>AGENCIES CHECKED:</b> None

11-01-2014 10:44:44 AM

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2  
3 These matters involve 15 television advertisements aired during the 2016 election that  
4 opposed then-presidential candidate Donald Trump and one of fourteen Republican congressional  
5 candidates. Each advertisement was paid for partially by the Democratic Congressional Campaign  
6 Committee ("DCCC") (and in one matter, the Colorado Democratic Party ("CDP")) and partially by  
7 the campaign committee of the respective Democratic congressional candidate that the  
8 advertisement supported. Each advertisement also featured that Democratic congressional  
9 candidate. The Complaints allege that the Respondents violated the Federal Election Campaign Act  
10 of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), by allocating the cost of the advertisements, resulting in excessive  
11 contributions from the DCCC/CDP to the candidate committees. The Respondents assert in  
12 response that the costs for these advertisements were properly allocated.

13 The Complaints further allege that the advertisements were coordinated between the  
14 DCCC/CDP and Hillary for America ("HFA"), the principal campaign committee for Hillary  
15 Clinton in the 2016 presidential election, resulting in excessive in-kind contributions from DCCC  
16 and CDP to HFA. Respondents deny coordinating as alleged.

17 The information in the record does not support the allegations that the costs for these  
18 advertisements were incorrectly allocated or that DCCC/CDP coordinated with HFA. We therefore  
19 recommend that the Commission find no reason to believe that the DCCC or the CDP made  
20 excessive in-kind contributions to the candidate committees, and close the files.

21 **II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS**

22 **A. Allocation of the Costs of the Advertisements**

23  
24 The Act defines a contribution as "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money  
25 or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal

CONFIDENTIAL



1 involved. For broadcast communications, the attribution is determined by the proportion of space or  
2 time devoted to each candidate as compared to the total space or time devoted to all candidates.<sup>7</sup>

3 The Respondents assert that each of the fifteen advertisements in these matters clearly  
4 identifies a Democratic candidate for Congress, and either expressly advocates against the  
5 candidate's Republican opponent and Trump,<sup>8</sup> or addresses the Republican opponent's support of  
6 Trump.<sup>9</sup> Complainant alleges that the DCCC and CDP made, and the Respondent Democratic  
7 congressional candidate committees accepted, excessive contributions in connection with the  
8 advertisements. Complainant argues that it was improper for the Respondents to allocate the costs of  
9 the advertisements and, therefore, the amounts the DCCC paid in connection with each  
10 advertisement were excessive, in-kind contributions to the respective individual candidate  
11 committee.<sup>10</sup>

12 Respondents assert in response to the Complaints that the methods used to allocate the costs  
13 from the ads were appropriate and that the resulting expenditures were reported correctly.  
14 Specifically, Respondents assert that they applied the allocation method for broadcast  
15 communications set forth in Section 106.1(a) of the Commission's regulations and allocated the  
16 costs according to the space and time devoted to each entity as compared to the total space or time

---

<sup>7</sup> *Id.*

<sup>8</sup> *See, e.g.*, Attach. 1 at 8-9 (transcribing the advertisement at issue in MUR 7176 (Shkreli)).

<sup>9</sup> *See, e.g.*, Attach. 1 at 10-11 (transcribing the advertisement at issue in MUR 7178 (Eggman)).

<sup>10</sup> *See, e.g.*, MUR 7169 (Santarsiero), Compl. at 9. The Complaints discuss at length the Commission's treatment of hybrid ads. *See, e.g.*, MUR 7169, Compl. at 4-9. The Complaints note that the advertisements at issue here are not "hybrid communications" and that Respondents are improperly substituting the standard "generic party reference" with material attacking Donald Trump, while still attributing a portion of the cost of the advertisements to the DCCC/CDP. *See id.* at 2-4. Hybrid ads are defined as "communications that refer both to one or more clearly identified Federal candidates and generically to candidates of a political party." *See id.* at 3 quoting Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Hybrid Communications, 72 Fed. Reg. 26,569, 26,770 (May 10, 2007). There are no generic references, such as "Democrats" or "Republicans," in any of the 15 ads at issue here.

1 devoted to all candidates.<sup>11</sup> According to Respondents, the costs of the advertisements identified in  
2 the Complaints were allocated between the DCCC or CDP and the Democratic candidate whose  
3 opponent was featured in the ad along with Donald Trump, pursuant to a time/space basis according  
4 to the portion of the ad that concerned each candidate.<sup>12</sup> The portion of each ad that addressed  
5 Trump was paid for by the DCCC.<sup>13</sup> The portion of each ad that addressed the Republican  
6 congressional candidate was either paid for in full by the corresponding Democratic congressional  
7 candidate or split between that Democratic candidate and the DCCC spending under its coordinated  
8 party expenditure limit.<sup>14</sup>

9 For example, Respondents assert that they paid for the advertisement at issue in MUR 7170  
10 (Kihuen) as an independent expenditure by the DCCC for the portion of the ad expressly advocating  
11 against Trump and as an expenditure by Ruben Kihuen for Congress, the principal campaign  
12 committee for Kihuen's campaign for Nevada's 4th Congressional District, because a portion of the  
13 ad advocated against the election of Kihuen's opponent.<sup>15</sup> This advertisement, "Our Values" (0:30),  
14 contained the following audio:

15 *Voiceover:* Donald Trump has made a lot of insulting statements.  
16 [Footage of Trump].  
17

<sup>11</sup> Resp. of DCCC, Santarsiero for Congress, Ruben Kihuen for Congress, Nelson for Wisconsin, Colleen Deacon for Congress, Applegate for Congress, Mowrer for Iowa, Texans for Pete, Suzanna Shkreli for Congress, Eggman for Congress, Stephanie Murray for Congress, Bryan Caforio for Congress, Friends of Christina M. Hartman, and LuAnn Bennett for Congress ("Joint Resp.") at 5-13 (Dec. 22, 2016). This Joint Response applied to all fourteen Complaints at issue in this report. *See id.*

<sup>12</sup> Joint Resp. at 4-5. The available record indicates that the subject advertisements aired during September and October 2016. The Joint Response indicates that the cost for the portion of each broadcast that was dedicated to the required disclaimer was split between the candidate and DCCC using the same ratio applied to the rest of the advertisement. *Id.* at 5-6.

<sup>13</sup> Joint Resp. at 4-5. The Respondents assert that this portion was reported by the DCCC as either an independent expenditure if it expressly advocated against Trump or an operating expenditure if it did not. *See id.* *See generally* 11 C.F.R. § 100.22.

<sup>14</sup> Joint Resp. at 4-5.

<sup>15</sup> *See id.* Respondents allocated the payments in this manner for the advertisements at issue in MURs 7169 (Santarsiero), 7170 (Kihuen), 7171 (Nelson), 7174 (Mowrer), 7176 (Shkreli), and 7182 (Caforio).

1 *Trump*: "Ah. I don't know what I said. Ah." [Footage of Trump].

2  
3 *Trump*: "He's a Mexican." [Footage of Trump].

4  
5 *Voiceover*: Trump insulted immigrants, women, a military family  
6 and veterans with PTSD. [Footage of Trump beside "examples,"  
7 such as Khizr Khan].

8  
9 *Kihuen*: "My opponent Crescent Hardy says he'll do anything to  
10 help Donald Trump, and Hardy also stands with Cliven Bundy."  
11 [Footage of Kihuen, Trump, and Hardy].

12  
13 *Bundy*: "And I've often wondered . . . are they better off as slaves,  
14 picking cotton? [Footage of Kihuen and Bundy].

15  
16 *Kihuen*: "Yeah, that guy." [Footage of Kihuen and Bundy].

17  
18 *Kihuen*: "I'm Ruben Kihuen and I approve this message, because these  
19 are not our values, and we're better off without Crescent Hardy and  
20 Donald Trump." [Footage of Kihuen].<sup>16</sup>

21  
22 In other instances, according to Respondents, the portion of an advertisement paid for by the  
23 DCCC (under the allocation principles discussed above, because it addressed Trump) was reported  
24 as an operating expenditure by the DCCC because that portion of the ad did not expressly advocate  
25 Trump's defeat, but instead focused on policy issues.<sup>17</sup> For example, the Respondents assert that the  
26 ad in MUR 7172 (Deacon) was paid for by the DCCC in that manner in part and in part as an  
27 expenditure by Colleen Deacon for Congress, the principal campaign committee for Colleen  
28 Deacon's campaign for New York's 24th Congressional District because a portion of the ad  
29 addressed Deacon's opponent's support for Trump. This advertisement, "Unsettled" (0:30),  
30 contained the following audio:

<sup>16</sup> See "Our Values," available at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ulkmwN7ivMU> (Oct. 17, 2016). OGC has transcribed the language from each advertisement at issue, along with some descriptive information that relates to each ad. See Attachment I to this report.

<sup>17</sup> Joint Resp. at 4-5. Respondents allocated the payments in this manner for the advertisements at issue in MURs 7172 (Deacon), 7175 (Gallego), 7178 (Eggman), 7179 (Murphy), and 7188 (Bennett).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

1           *Voiceover*: In an unsettled world, John Katko and Donald Trump's  
2           approach takes us down a dangerous path. [Footage of current  
3           events].

4           *Trump*: "I love war in a certain way." [Footage of Trump].

5           *Voiceover*: But when asked about supporting Trump, Katko said "I  
6           absolutely will support." [Footage of Katko].

7           *Trump*: "Tell them to go [bleep] themselves." [Footage of Trump].

8           *Voiceover*: When national security leaders condemn Trump's  
9           reckless statements on foreign policy. [Footage of Trump].

10          *Reporter*: "People are wondering how those things can happen and  
11          you not flat out denounce it." [Footage of Katko and reporter].

12          *Katko*: "I'm more concerned about my race." [Footage of Katko].

13          *Voiceover*: Not about the safety of our families. [Footage of  
14          Katko].

15          *Voiceover*: Trump and Katko put our National Security at risk.  
16          [Footage of Trump and Katko].

17          *Deacon*: "I'm Collen Deacon and I approve this message."  
18          [Footage of Deacon].<sup>18</sup>

19                The one matter involving the CDP, MUR 7177 (Carroll), involves an advertisement paid for  
20                by the CDP and Carroll for Colorado, the principal campaign committee for Morgan Carroll's  
21                congressional campaign for Colorado's 6th Congressional District.<sup>19</sup> The CDP paid for the portion  
22                of the advertisement that criticizes Trump's policy positions as an operating expense, while Carroll  
23                for Colorado paid for the portion opposing Rep. Mike Coffman, Carroll's opponent.<sup>20</sup>

24                Finally, in two matters, MURs 7173 (Applegate) and 7187 (Hartman), all of the costs of the  
25                advertisements were split between the Democratic candidate and the DCCC spending under its  
26                coordinated party expenditure limit.<sup>21</sup>

<sup>18</sup> See "Unsettled," available at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ch4ToJp3Br0> (Oct. 8, 2016); Attach. 1.

<sup>19</sup> MUR 7177 (Carroll), Compl. at 1 (Oct. 31, 2016).

<sup>20</sup> CDP Resp. at 2-3 (Dec. 22, 2016); Joint Resp. at 4.

<sup>21</sup> Joint Resp. at 4-5, 9.

1 In the circumstances presented in these MURs, we believe it was reasonable for Respondents  
2 to allocate the costs of the advertisements on a time and space basis pursuant to Section 106.1(a).  
3 The Commission has previously approved the allocation of the costs of communications that relate  
4 to more than one Federal candidate in Advisory Opinion 2010-10 (NRTL). There, the Commission  
5 addressed the appropriate allocation method for independent expenditures in several Federal  
6 elections under Section 106.1(a).<sup>22</sup> The Commission opined, *inter alia*, that independent  
7 expenditures that expressly advocate the election of several Federal candidates in different races and  
8 identify, and compare the positions of, those candidates' respective opponents, should be allocated  
9 among the different races, based on a time or space analysis.<sup>23</sup> The allocation is determined by  
10 comparing the proportion of the space or time devoted to each race in the communication, with the  
11 total space or time devoted to all races in the communication.<sup>24</sup> The corresponding portion of the  
12 independent expenditure should be reported as having been made in support of the candidates whose  
13 elections were expressly advocated.<sup>25</sup> Here, Respondents assert that certain portions of the  
14 advertisements relating to Trump do not contain express advocacy,<sup>26</sup> and the Commission has not  
15 expressly addressed allocation of costs for communications that address multiple candidates but may  
16 not expressly advocate for each candidate.<sup>27</sup> The Commission has also approved of these Section

---

<sup>22</sup> See Adv. Op. 2010-10 (National Right to Life PAC) at 5.

<sup>23</sup> *Id.*

<sup>24</sup> *Id.*

<sup>25</sup> *Id.*

<sup>26</sup> We do not analyze in this report whether Respondents have properly characterized the content of each advertisement.

<sup>27</sup> Adv. Op. 2010-10 at n. 5.

CONFIDENTIAL

1 106.1 allocation principles when an advertisement not only addresses two candidates, but is also paid  
2 for by two separate parties.<sup>28</sup>

3 The Commission has not explicitly addressed the allocation of costs of communications that  
4 address multiple candidates but do not expressly advocate the election or defeat of those candidates.  
5 However, Section 106.1(a) applies to “expenditures” – covering the DCCC and candidate committee  
6 payments for any non-express advocacy ads – as well as to independent expenditures, and the  
7 allocation method used by Respondents appears to satisfy the time and space basis set forth in the  
8 regulation.<sup>29</sup>

9 Based on the available record, it appears that the Respondents’ allocation of the costs of the  
10 ads between the Democratic House campaign committees and either DCCC or CDP is consistent  
11 with Section 106.1(a) and Commission precedent. The Complaints do not provide information  
12 suggesting otherwise. Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission find no reason to believe  
13 that the DCCC and CDP violated 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a) by making, or that the Democratic House  
14 campaign committees violated 52 U.S.C. § 30116(f) by receiving, excessive contributions as a result  
15 of improperly allocating the costs of the ads.

16 **B. Coordination**

17 The Complaints also allege that the DCCC and CDP coordinated their advertisements with  
18 HFA. Respondents deny these allegations.

---

<sup>28</sup> See Adv. Op. 2007-24 (Burkee/Walz) at 5 (requiring the costs of joint ad devoting equal time and space between two candidates to be split equally between those two candidates’ committees). See also Adv. Op. 2004-37 (Waters) (describing payments by multiple candidates for brochure, under 106.1 allocation principles).

<sup>29</sup> Respondents assert that in attributing the cost of a communication among multiple candidates, the Commission does not look to whether or not the segment associated with a particular candidate contains express advocacy. See Joint Resp. at 6.

UNCLASSIFIED

1 Under the Act, expenditures that are coordinated with a candidate are treated as contributions  
2 to the candidate.<sup>30</sup> The Commission regulations further provide that a payment for a communication  
3 "coordinated with a candidate, a candidate's authorized committee, or an agent of either of the  
4 foregoing" must be treated as either an in-kind contribution to, or coordinated party expenditure  
5 with, the candidate.<sup>31</sup> To determine whether a communication constitutes a "party coordinated  
6 communication," Commission regulations apply a three-prong test.<sup>32</sup> First, the communication must  
7 be paid for by a political party committee or its agent.<sup>33</sup> Second, the communication must satisfy  
8 one of three content standards.<sup>34</sup> Finally, the communication must satisfy one of six conduct  
9 standards.<sup>35</sup>

10 In these matters, the payment prong of the coordinated communication test is satisfied  
11 because the DCCC and CDP paid for, in part, the ads at issue. The content prong also appears to be  
12 satisfied because the ads are either public communications containing express advocacy, or public

---

<sup>30</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(7)(B).

<sup>31</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 109.37(a), (b).

<sup>32</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 109.37(a)(1),(2),(3).

<sup>33</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 109.37(a)(1).

<sup>34</sup> The content standards are: (1) a public communication that disseminates, distributes, or republishes, in whole or in part, a candidate's campaign materials; (2) a public communication containing express advocacy; and (3) a public communication that refers to a clearly identified Federal candidate that is publicly distributed or disseminated 90 days or fewer before a primary or general election, and was directed to voters in the jurisdiction of the clearly identified candidate. 11 C.F.R. § 109.37(a)(2)(i),(ii),(iii).

<sup>35</sup> The conduct prong is satisfied where any of the following types of conduct occurs: (1) the communication was created, produced, or distributed at the request or suggestion of a candidate or his campaign; (2) the candidate or his campaign was materially involved in decisions regarding the communication; (3) the communication was created, produced, or distributed after substantial discussions with the campaign or its agents; (4) the parties contracted with or employed a common vendor that used or conveyed material information about the campaign's plans, projects, activities or needs, or used material information gained from past work with the candidate to create, produce, or distribute the communication; (5) the payor employed a former employee or independent contractor of the candidate who used or conveyed material information about the campaign's plans, projects, activities or needs, or used material information gained from past work with the candidate to create, produce, or distribute the communication; or (6) the payor republished campaign material under circumstances that satisfy one of the first five criteria identified here. 11 C.F.R. § 109.37(a)(3); *see also* 109.21(d)(1)-(6).

CONFIDENTIAL

1 communications that clearly refer to a federal candidate and were publicly distributed or  
2 disseminated in that candidate's jurisdiction within 90 days of a general election.<sup>36</sup>

3 However, the Complaints do not allege specific facts that are sufficient to provide reason to  
4 believe that the conduct prong is has been satisfied. In fact, the Complaints offer only that there is  
5 "close and ongoing coordination between the DCCC and HFA," and we see no basis on the current  
6 record to conclude or reasonably infer that any of the types of conduct described in the conduct  
7 prong have been satisfied.

8 Moreover, the Complaints' broad allegations of coordination between the DCCC and HFA or  
9 the CDP and HFA are sufficiently rebutted by the specific sworn responses denying the alleged  
10 coordination. The DCCC's Response provides a declaration from its Deputy Executive Director,  
11 Michael Ian Russell, who worked on and supervised DCCC employees working on the  
12 advertisements mentioning Trump.<sup>37</sup> According to Russell, during 2016 he did not work for HFA in  
13 any capacity, and that no DCCC employee or House campaign staff working on these  
14 advertisements was employed by HFA at any time during the 120 day period prior to the date each  
15 ad was created.<sup>38</sup> Russell avers that the program of advertisements was conceived by DCCC without  
16 the request, suggestion, or assent of HFA or its agents, that staff were instructed not to discuss any  
17 aspect of the advertisements with HFA, and that he is not aware of any breach of protocol.<sup>39</sup> He  
18 further avers that none of the advertisements were created, produced, or distributed at the request or  
19 suggestion of HFA nor did they assent to the creation, production, or distribution of the  
20 advertisements, and that HFA had no involvement with the DCCC or any of the House campaign

---

<sup>36</sup> See 11 C.F.R. § 109.37(a)(2)(ii), (iii).

<sup>37</sup> Joint Resp., Ex. A.

<sup>38</sup> Russell Decl. ¶¶ 1, 9.

<sup>39</sup> *Id.* ¶¶ 3 - 6.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

1 staff regarding the content, production, or distribution of the advertisements.<sup>40</sup> Last, he avers that  
2 HFA did not convey any relevant information about its plans, projects, activities, or needs  
3 concerning any advertisement.<sup>41</sup>

4 Similarly, the CDP Response denies coordination and supplies a declaration from its  
5 Chairman, Rick Palacio, averring that the advertisement in MUR 7177 was not created, produced, or  
6 distributed at the request, suggestion, or assent of HFA, and that HFA was not materially involved in  
7 – nor were there substantial discussions between HFA and CDP – regarding the creation, production,  
8 or distribution of the advertisement.<sup>42</sup>

9 HFA, for its part, denies that it or any of its agents coordinated any of the advertisements  
10 with either the DCCC or the CDP, or their agents.<sup>43</sup>

11 In sum, the lack of available information indicating the sharing of campaign information, the  
12 lack of specific facts in the Complaint, combined with the denials of any coordinating activity, do  
13 not provide a sufficient predicate to investigate whether any conduct standard is satisfied. Therefore,  
14 we recommend that the Commission find no reason to believe that the DCCC and CDP made  
15 excessive in-kind contributions to HFA in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a), or that HFA received  
16 excessive in-kind contributions in violation of 52 U.S.C. § 30116(f) in connection with the  
17 coordinated communication allegation. Finally, we recommend that the Commission close the files.

---

<sup>40</sup> *Id.* ¶ 7.

<sup>41</sup> *Id.* ¶ 8. In addition, the DCCC Responses provide declarations from media consultants working on the advertisements in MURs 7179 and 7188, which aver that during 2016 these companies also performed work for HFA, but that the advertisements were not created, produced, or distributed at the suggest or request of HFA, that HFA had no involvement in the advertisements, and that that the media consultants did not use or convey any information about HFA campaign plans, projects, activities, or needs to create, produce, or distribute the advertisements in question. Joint Resp., Ex. C.

<sup>42</sup> CDP Resp., Palacio Decl.

<sup>43</sup> HFA Resp. at 1-2.

CONFIDENTIAL

1 **III. RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 2 1. Find no reason to believe that Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee and Kelly  
3 Ward in her official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a) by making  
4 excessive in-kind contributions as a result of improperly allocating the costs of the  
5 advertisements.  
6  
7 2. Find no reason to believe that Colorado Democratic Party and Judith Steinberg in her  
8 official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a) by making excessive in-kind  
9 contributions as a result of improperly allocating the costs of the advertisements.  
10  
11 3. Find no reason to believe that Santarsiero for Congress and Lora Haggard in her  
12 official capacity as treasurer; Ruben Kihuen for Congress and Jay Petterson in his  
13 official capacity as treasurer; Nelson for Wisconsin and Dr. Beth Gillis in her official  
14 capacity as treasurer; Colleen Deacon for Congress and Jennifer May in her official  
15 capacity as treasurer; Applegate for Congress and Douglas Applegate in his official  
16 capacity as treasurer; Mowrer for Iowa and Dennis Skinner in his official capacity as  
17 treasurer; Texans for Pete and Wayne Alexander in his official capacity as treasurer;  
18 Suzanna Shkreli for Congress and Jennifer May in her official capacity as treasurer;  
19 Carroll for Colorado and Mitchell S. Wright in his official capacity as treasurer; Eggman  
20 for Congress and Jay Peterson in his official capacity as treasurer; Stephanie Murray for  
21 Congress and Jennifer May in her official capacity as treasurer; Bryan Caforio for  
22 Congress and Gonzalo Freixes in his official capacity as treasurer; Friends of Christina  
23 M. Hartman and Diane Toapkian in her official capacity as treasurer; or LuAnn Bennett  
24 for Congress and Jennifer May in her official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C.  
25 § 30116(f) by accepting excessive in-kind contributions as a result of improperly  
26 allocating the costs of the advertisements.  
27  
28 4. Find no reason to believe the Democratic Congressional Campaign Committee and  
29 Kelly Ward in her official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a) in  
30 connection with the coordinated communication allegation.  
31  
32 5. Find no reason to believe the Colorado Democratic Party and Judith Steinberg in her  
33 official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a) in connection with the  
34 coordinated communication allegation.  
35  
36 6. Find no reason to believe that Hillary for America and Jose Villarreal in his official  
37 capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30116(f) in connection with the coordinated  
38 communication allegation.  
39  
40 7. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis.  
41  
42 8. Approve the appropriate letters.  
43  
44  
45  
46

CONFIDENTIAL

1           9. Close the files.  
2  
3

Lisa J. Stevenson  
Acting General Counsel

4  
5  
6           6/16/17

*Kathleen M. Guith*

7           Date

Kathleen M. Guith  
Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

8  
9  
10           Mark Allen

11           Mark Allen  
12           Assistant General Counsel

13           Christine Gallagher

14           Christine C. Gallagher  
15           Attorney

16  
17           Christopher L. Edwards

18           Christopher L. Edwards  
19           Attorney

20  
21           Attachments:

22           1. OGC Chart Detailing Subject Advertisements  
23  
24

NONCONFIDENTIAL

MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
MUR 7169 (Santarsiero for Congress)	<p>“Dangerous for PA Women” Oct. 17, 2016 <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7mb2DsowG00">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7mb2DsowG00</a></p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> Brian Fitzpatrick supports Donald Trump’s dangerous agenda. [Footage of Trump and Fitzpatrick].</p> <p><i>Trump:</i> “Well I’m pro-life. I am totally for defunding. We shouldn’t be giving to Planned Parenthood.” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Fitzpatrick:</i> “We’ll vote and we’ll vote to defund Planned Parenthood.” [Footage of Fitzpatrick].</p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> At a time when eleven women a day die of cervical cancer. Trump’s plan would eliminate cancer screenings and pre-natal care for thousands of Pennsylvania women. [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Santarsiero:</i> “Donald Trump and Brian Fitzpatrick are dangerous for women. I’ll protect a woman’s right to choose and I’ll fight for equal pay for equal work. I’m Steve Santarsiero and I approve this message.” [Footage of Santarsiero].</p>	\$602,660	<p>Portion of ad devoted to Express Advocacy (“EA”) paid for by DCCC and reported as an Independent Expenditure. Remainder either paid for by campaign or split between campaign and DCCC.</p>	EA in opposition to Trump and Republican House candidate.

<sup>1</sup> As alleged in the Complaints, this figure was obtained from broadcast and cable stations, and includes the total tv advertising paid for jointly by the candidate and the DCCC from Sept. 1, 2016, through the date the complaint was filed (Oct. 24, 2016).

<sup>2</sup> As asserted in Joint Response of DCCC, et al., at 4-5.

<sup>3</sup> As asserted in Joint Response of DCCC, et al., at 4-5

MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
MUR 7170 (Ruben Kihuen for Congress)	<p>“Our Values” Oct. 17, 2016  <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ulkmwN7ivMU">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ulkmwN7ivMU</a></p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> Donald Trump has made a lot of insulting statements. [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Trump:</i> “Ah. I don’t know what I said. Ah.” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Trump:</i> “He’s a Mexican.” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> Trump insulted immigrants, women, a military family, and veterans with PTSD. [Footage of Trump beside “examples,” such as Khizr Khan].</p> <p><i>Kihuen:</i> “My opponent Crescent Hardy says he’ll do anything to help Donald Trump, and Hardy also stands with Cliven Bundy.” [Footage of Kihuen, Trump, and Hardy].</p> <p><i>Bundy:</i> “And I’ve often wondered . . . are they better off as slaves, picking cotton?” [Footage of Kihuen and Bundy].</p> <p><i>Kihuen:</i> “Yeah, that guy.” [Footage of Kihuen and Bundy].</p> <p><i>Kihuen:</i> “I’m Ruben Kihuen and I approve this message, because these are not our values, and we’re better off without Crescent Hardy and Donald Trump.” [Footage of Kihuen].</p>	\$943,366	<p>Portion of ad devoted to EA paid for by DCCC and reported as an Independent Expenditure. Remainder either paid for by campaign or split between campaign and DCCC.</p>	EA in opposition to Trump and Republican House candidate.

MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
<p>MUR 7171 (Nelson for Wisconsin)</p>	<p>“No” Oct. 10, 2016  <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LS-gU95vm9U">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LS-gU95vm9U</a>  <i>Nelson:</i> “I’m Tom Nelson and I approve this message.” [Footage of Nelson].  <i>Voiceover:</i> Mike Gallagher says we have to support Donald Trump. [Footage of Gallagher].  <i>Trump:</i> “I moved on her like a [bleep], but I couldn’t get there and she was married. When you’re a star, you can do anything. Grab them by the [bleep].” [Footage of bus containing Trump].  <i>Voiceover:</i> He didn’t pay income taxes for 18 years. [Footage of Trump].  <i>Trump:</i> “That makes me smarter.” [Footage of Trump].  <i>Voiceover:</i> And mocked a disabled reporter. [Footage of Trump].  <i>Trump:</i> “Ah. I don’t know what I said. Ah. I don’t remember.” [Footage of Trump].  <i>Voiceover:</i> Mike Gallagher still says we have to support Donald Trump. No, we don’t. We don’t have to support Mike Gallagher either. [Footage of Gallagher].</p>	<p>\$109,978</p>	<p>Portion of ad devoted to EA paid for by DCCC and reported as an Independent Expenditure. Remainder either paid for by campaign or split between campaign and DCCC.</p>	<p>EA in opposition to Trump and Republican House candidate.</p>

MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
<p>MUR 7172 (Colleen Deacon for Congress)</p>	<p>“Unsettled” Oct. 8, 2016  <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ch4T0jp3Br0">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ch4T0jp3Br0</a>  <i>Voiceover:</i> In an unsettled world, John Katko and Donald Trump’s approach takes us down a dangerous path. [Footage of current events].  <i>Trump:</i> “I love war in a certain way.” [Footage of Trump].  <i>Voiceover:</i> But when asked about supporting Trump, Katko said “I absolutely will support.” [Footage of Katko].  <i>Trump:</i> “Tell them to go [bleep] themselves.” [Footage of Trump].  <i>Voiceover:</i> When national security leaders condemn Trump’s reckless statements on foreign policy. [Footage of Trump].  <i>Reporter:</i> “People are wondering how those things can happen and you not flat out denounce it.” [Footage of Katko and reporter].  <i>Katko:</i> “I’m more concerned about my race.” [Footage of Katko].  <i>Voiceover:</i> Not about the safety of our families. [Footage of Katko].</p>	<p>\$425,362</p>	<p>Portion concerning candidate was either paid for in full by campaign, or split between campaign and DCCC. Remainder paid for by DCCC and reported as an operating expenditure.</p>	<p>Ties Republican House candidate to Trump’s policy positions.</p>

MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
MUR 7173 (Applegate for Congress)	<p><i>Voiceover:</i> Trump and Katko put our National Security at risk. [Footage of Trump and Katko].</p> <p><i>Deacon:</i> "I'm Collen Deacon and I approve this message." [Footage of Deacon].</p> <p>Two advertisements are at issue in this matter:</p> <p>1) "Darrell Issa's Lined Pockets" Sept. 20, 2016 <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RriiljNAe-I">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RriiljNAe-I</a></p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> Darrell Issa says Donald Trump is the obvious choice for president. And it's no wonder. [Footage of Trump and Issa].</p> <p><i>Trump:</i> "I'm really rich. Nobody knows the system better than me." [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> Just like Trump, Issa gamed the system to line his own pockets. Stealing millions in taxpayer money to help properties he owned. Issa's wealth increased to over \$250 million dollars during his time in Congress. [Footage of Trump and Issa].</p> <p><i>Applegate:</i> "It's time we had a congressman that looks out for you, not himself. I'm Colonel Doug Applegate and I approve this message because I'll always fight for you." [Footage of Applegate].</p> <p>2) "Access" Oct. 11, 2016 <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BO8sRQcNU 4">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BO8sRQcNU 4</a></p>	\$802,641	Paid for with Applegate's campaign funds and DCCC funds under the coordinated party expenditure limits.	1) Ties Republican House candidate to Trump's policy positions. 2) EA in opposition to Trump and Republican House candidate.

MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
	<p><i>Applegate</i>: “I’m Colonel Doug Applegate. I approve this message.” [Footage of Applegate].</p> <p><i>Trump</i>: “I did try and [bleep] her. She was married. I moved on her like a [bleep], and when you’re a star . . . they let you do it. You can do anything.” [Footage of Trump and Issa].</p> <p><i>Voicemail</i>: Darrell Issa says Donald Trump is the obvious choice for president. [Footage of Trump and Issa].</p> <p><i>Trump</i>: “You can do anything. Grab them by the [bleep].” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voicemail</i>: On the day we saw the real Donald Trump, Darrell Issa decided to join Trump’s campaign. [Footage of Trump and Issa].</p> <p><i>Trump</i>: “You can do anything. Grab them by the [bleep].” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voicemail</i>: It’s time to put country first. Say no to Donald Trump and Darrell Issa. [Footage of Trump and Issa].</p> <p><i>Trump</i>: “You can do anything. Grab them by the . . .” [Footage of Trump and Issa].</p>			

MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
MUR 7174 (Mowrer for Iowa)	<p>“Now We Understand” Oct. 20, 2016  <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g_4kBJw8r-U">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g_4kBJw8r-U</a></p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> Donald Trump says he’ll defund Planned Parenthood. [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Trump:</i> “I would defund Planned Parenthood.” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> David Young voted to do it already . . . 5 times. [Footage of Young].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> And Donald Trump says about women who have abortions: [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Trump:</i> “There has to be some form of punishment.” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> David Young has already voted to make abortion a crime. And even though Donald Trump brags about sexually assaulting women, David Young continues to support him for president and now we understand why. [Footage of Trump and Young].</p> <p><i>Mowrer:</i> “I’m Jim Mowrer and I approve this message.” [Footage of Mowrer].</p>	\$689,120	Paid for with Mowrer’s campaign funds and DCCC funds under the coordinated party expenditure limits.	EA in opposition to Trump and Republican House candidate.
MUR 7175 (Texans for Pete)	<p>“Wrong Choice for Texans” Oct. 4, 2016  <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GJCZwJ3tYXw">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GJCZwJ3tYXw</a></p> <p><i>Trump:</i> “We’re going to build a wall.” [Footage of Trump].</p>	\$292,644	Portion concerning candidate was either paid for in full by campaign, or split between campaign and	Ties Republican House candidate to Trump’s policy positions.

MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
	<p><i>Voicemail:</i> Donald Trump is tearing us apart. [Footage of rally].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> Trump called a judge unqualified because of his Mexican heritage. [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Trump:</i> “He’s a Mexican. We’re building a wall between here and Mexico.” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> And called Mexicans racist and murderers. [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> Donald Trump, too dangerous for us. [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> But Will Hurd said he could still support Donald Trump. And Will Hurd shares the same bad ideas. [Footage of Hurd].</p> <p><i>Hurd:</i> “A wall absolutely makes sense.” [Footage of Hurd].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> Will Hurd, he’s the wrong choice for Texas families. [Footage of Hurd].</p> <p><i>Gallego:</i> “I’m Pete Gallego and I approve this message.” [Footage of Gallego].</p>		<p>DCCC. Remainder paid for by DCCC and reported as an operating expenditure.</p>	



MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
<p>MUR 7177 (Carroll for Colorado)</p>	<p>“Won’t Stand Up for You” Sept. 27, 2016  <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7K.xcnuiejChU">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7K.xcnuiejChU</a></p> <p><i>Carroll:</i> “I’m Morgan Carroll and I approve this message.” [Footage of Carroll].</p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> Donald Trump and Mike Coffman more similar than you think. [Footage of Trump and Coffman].</p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> Donald Trump would defund Planned Parenthood. [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> And Mike Coffman voted to defund it 6 times. [Footage of Coffman].</p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> What does Trump say about immigrants? [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Trump:</i> “They’re bringing drugs. They’re rapists.” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> And Kaufman says immigrants should quote “pull out a dictionary.” [Footage of Coffman].</p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> Trump mocks those with disabilities. [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> Coffman says nothing. [Footage of Coffman].</p>	<p>\$722,131</p>	<p>CDP and Carroll for Colorado split costs on a time/space basis.</p>	<p>Ties Republican House candidate to Trump’s policy positions.</p>

**MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue**

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
	<p><i>Voicemail:</i> Trump questioned President Obama's birth certificate. [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> And Mike Coffman ... [Footage of Coffman].</p> <p><i>Coffman:</i> "He's just not an American." [Footage of Coffman].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> Not an American? [Footage of Coffman].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> Mike Coffman won't stand up to Donald Trump because he stands with him. [Footage of Trump and Coffman].</p>			
<p>MUR 7178 (Eggman for Congress)</p>	<p>"Bragged" Oct. 4, 2016  <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HlxNUxf-t80">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HlxNUxf-t80</a></p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> To build his new luxury hotel Donald Trump got millions of your tax dollars, and Congressman Jeff Denham helped him do it. [Footage of Trump Hotel, Trump, and Denham].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> Denham even bragged about all he did for Trump. [Footage of Trump and Denham].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> Now Trump attacks women and immigrants and tries to claim: [Footage of individual people].</p> <p><i>Trump:</i> "There is no drought. Believe me." [Footage of Trump].</p>	<p>\$589,087</p>	<p>Portion concerning candidate was either paid for in full by campaign, or split between campaign and DCCC. Remainder paid for by DCCC and reported as an operating expenditure.</p>	<p>Ties Republican House candidate to Trump's policy positions.</p>

MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
	<p><i>Voiceover:</i> And Denham still stands with him, not us. [Footage of Trump and Denham].</p> <p><i>Eggman:</i> "I'm Michael Eggman and I approve this message because I'll always stand with the valley." [Footage of Eggman].</p>			
<p>MUR 7179 (Stephanie Murphy for Congress)</p>	<p>"It's Time to Change Washington" Oct. 11, 2016 <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OpdlznhrF4">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OpdlznhrF4</a></p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> Congressman Mica and Donald Trump share the same harmful policies. [Footage of Mica and Trump].</p> <p><i>Voiceover:</i> Trump wants to restrict access to women's health care, and opposes common sense efforts to reduce gun violence. [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Trump:</i> "Get them out of here." [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Murphy:</i> "It's time for a new approach. Stop the attacks on women's health, and start protecting our families. I'm Stephanie Murphy, and I approve this message. Because to change Washington, we need to change the people we send there." [Footage of Murphy].</p>	<p>\$426,835</p>	<p>Portion concerning candidate was either paid for in full by campaign, or split between campaign and DCCC. Remainder paid for by DCCC and reported as an operating expenditure.</p>	<p>Ties Republican House candidate to Trump's policy positions.</p>

## MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
MUR 7182 (Bryan Caforio for Congress)	<p>“Stand Up” Oct. 12, 2016  <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i18196BhvWg">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i18196BhvWg</a></p> <p><i>Trump</i>: “Ah. I don’t know what I said. Ah.” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Trump</i>: “Grab them by the [bleep].” [Footage of bus containing Trump].</p> <p><i>Caforio</i>: “I’m Bryan Caforio. As the son of school teachers, I know these aren’t our values.” [Footage of Caforio].</p> <p><i>Trump</i>: “Putting a wife to work is a very dangerous thing.” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Trump</i>: “There has to be some form of punishment.” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Reporter</i>: “For the woman?” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Trump</i>: “Yeah.” [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Caforio</i>: “Unlike extremist Congressman Steve Knight, I’ll stand up to bullies like Donald Trump. In Congress, I’ll fight for equal pay, and to protect planned parenthood, and get real immigration reform. I’m Bryan Caforio and I approve this message.” [Footage of Caforio].</p>	\$751,469	Portion of ad devoted to EA paid for by DCCC and reported as an Independent Expenditure. Remainder either paid for by campaign or split between campaign and DCCC.	EA in opposition to Trump and Republican House candidate.

MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
MUR 7187 (Friends of Christina M. Hartman)	<p>“Army General” Oct. 25, 2016  <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8DNQEGLEECA">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8DNQEGLEECA</a></p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> Donald Trump says he knows better than our Army generals, insults our veterans, and admires dictators like Putin. [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> Lloyd Smucker stands with Trump – worse – takes money from a company accused of funding terrorism. Hides behind lies about Christina Hartman’s record on security. [Footage of Smucker].</p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> The truth, Christina Hartman has fought for democracy in over 20 countries. Standing up for freedom against repressive regimes. Christina Hartman will fight extremism. Keep America Safe. [Footage of Hartman].</p> <p><i>Hartman:</i> “I’m Christina Hartman and I approve this message.” [Footage of Hartman].</p> <p>“Stand Up” Sept. 30, 2016  <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xpHOFCWY30s">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xpHOFCWY30s</a></p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> On issues that matter to Northern Virginia, Barbara Comstock and Donald Trump have a lot in common. [Footage of Trump and Comstock].</p> <p><i>O’Reilly:</i> “Overturn Roe v. Wade.” [Footage of Trump and Bill O’Reilly].</p> <p><i>Trump:</i> “Well overturn or overturn.” [Footage of</p>	\$94,175	Paid for with Hartman’s campaign funds and DCCC funds under the coordinated party expenditure limits.	Ties Republican House candidate to Trump’s policy positions.
MUR 7188 (LuAnn Bennett for Congress)	<p>“Stand Up” Sept. 30, 2016  <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xpHOFCWY30s">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xpHOFCWY30s</a></p> <p><i>Voicemail:</i> On issues that matter to Northern Virginia, Barbara Comstock and Donald Trump have a lot in common. [Footage of Trump and Comstock].</p> <p><i>O’Reilly:</i> “Overturn Roe v. Wade.” [Footage of Trump and Bill O’Reilly].</p> <p><i>Trump:</i> “Well overturn or overturn.” [Footage of</p>	\$470,000	Portion concerning candidate was either paid for in full by campaign, or split between campaign and DCCC. Remainder paid for by DCCC and reported as an operating expenditure.	Ties Republican House candidate to Trump’s policy positions.

MURs 7169, et al. (DCCC, et al.) – Summary of Each Advertisement at Issue

MUR	TV Advertisement Transcript	Costs <sup>1</sup>	Allocation Method <sup>2</sup>	Type of Advocacy <sup>3</sup>
	<p>Trump and O'Reilly].</p> <p><i>Comstock</i>: "I think Roe v. Wade should be overturned." [Footage of Comstock].</p> <p><i>Voiceover</i>: Trump and Comstock. [Footage of Trump and Comstock].</p> <p><i>Trump</i>: "I am totally for defunding. We shouldn't be giving to Planned Parenthood." [Footage of Trump].</p> <p><i>Voiceover</i>: While Comstock voted five times to defund Planned Parenthood. [Footage of Trump and Comstock].</p> <p><i>Voiceover</i>: Northern Virginia we need LuAnn Bennett. Standing up to Trump and Comstock. Standing up for us. [Footage of Bennett].</p> <p><i>Bennett</i>: "I'm LuAnn Bennett and I approve this message." [Footage of Bennett].</p>			